

**EXHIBIT A**

**Gray, Joseph**


---

**From:** Edelman, Michael N. [michael.edelman@Paulhastings.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 25, 2007 1:08 PM  
**To:** Gray, Joseph; Lemieux, Ronald (Ron) S.; Matz, Robert; Williams, Shanee; Bhakar, Vid; Deborah Race; Otis Carroll  
**Cc:** Buss, Brian; Walker, Floyd; Doug McSwane  
**Subject:** RE: Motion for hearing

Joey: [Case 6:05-cv-00424-LED](#) [Document 244](#) [Filed 04/25/2007](#) [Page 2 of 5](#)

Joey:

AdvanceMe certainly has no objection to participating in oral argument if the Court decides to schedule a hearing. But we do not believe there are any unique circumstances in our case that would justify bringing the motion you are suggesting. Accordingly, AdvanceMe does not consent to such a motion.

Mike

---

**From:** Gray, Joseph [mailto:jgray@velaw.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 25, 2007 10:53 AM  
**To:** Edelman, Michael N.; Lemieux, Ronald (Ron) S.; Matz, Robert; Williams, Shanee; Bhakar, Vid; Deborah Race; Otis Carroll  
**Cc:** Buss, Brian; Walker, Floyd; Doug McSwane  
**Subject:** RE: Motion for hearing

Mike,

Our local counsel informs us that the only way to bring the unique circumstances of our situation to the attention of the Court and possibly get an early hearing date on Defendants' motion is through a motion. While Defendants understand your concern, and certainly do not intend to interfere with the Court's discretion, Defendants believe it is in the Court's, AdvanceMe's, and Defendants' best interest to have a hearing as soon as possible. Please advise whether AdvanceMe will oppose such a motion.

Regards,  
 Joey

---

**From:** Edelman, Michael N. [mailto:michael.edelman@Paulhastings.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 25, 2007 12:47 PM  
**To:** Gray, Joseph; Lemieux, Ronald (Ron) S.; Matz, Robert; Williams, Shanee; Bhakar, Vid; Deborah Race; Otis Carroll  
**Cc:** Buss, Brian; Walker, Floyd  
**Subject:** RE: Motion for hearing

Joey:

Our local counsel informs us that the motion you are suggesting would not be well-received. The Defendants have already requested oral argument on the Little & Co. summary judgment motion, and presumably will make a similar request in their briefing on the second summary judgment motion. Pursuant to the local rules, oral argument on the motions is left entirely up to the Court's discretion. We do not see the point of filing a separate motion on this subject, and do not think it would be appropriate to interfere with the Court's discretion in this matter.

4/25/2007

Mike

---

**From:** Gray, Joseph [mailto:jgray@velaw.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 24, 2007 3:16 PM  
**To:** Edelman, Michael N.; Lemieux, Ronald (Ron) S.; Matz, Robert; Williams, Shane; Bhakar, Vid  
**Cc:** Buss, Brian; Walker, Floyd  
**Subject:** RE: Motion for hearing

Mike, [Case 6:05-cv-00424-LED](#) [Document 244](#) [Filed 04/25/2007](#) [Page 3 of 5](#)

Defendants' motion will seek a hearing as soon as possible on the fully briefed Litle & Co. motion. If the Court is unable to set a hearing prior to the completion of briefing on the Clever Ideas motion, Defendants would request the earliest possible date for a hearing on both. Please advise at your earliest convenience whether AdvanceMe will oppose the motion.

Regards,  
 Joey

---

**From:** Edelman, Michael N. [mailto:michael.edelman@Paulhastings.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 24, 2007 4:21 PM  
**To:** Gray, Joseph; Lemieux, Ronald (Ron) S.; Matz, Robert; Williams, Shane; Bhakar, Vid  
**Cc:** Buss, Brian; Walker, Floyd  
**Subject:** RE: Motion for hearing

Joey:

It is unlikely we will be able to get you a response to this today. We should be able to speak with our client and get a response to this by the end of tomorrow. In the meantime, could you please clarify whether your motion would seek a hearing on both summary judgment motions before May 18, or only on the Litle & Co. motion?  
 Thanks,

Mike

---

**From:** Gray, Joseph [mailto:jgray@velaw.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 24, 2007 2:08 PM  
**To:** Lemieux, Ronald (Ron) S.; Edelman, Michael N.; Matz, Robert; Williams, Shane; Bhakar, Vid  
**Cc:** Buss, Brian; Walker, Floyd  
**Subject:** FW: Motion for hearing

All,

We have not yet received a response to the below email. Defendants will be filing a motion this afternoon requesting a hearing on the Litle & Co. summary judgment motion prior to May 18, 2007. Please advise whether AdvanceMe will oppose this motion at your earliest convenience.

Regards,  
 Joey

4/25/2007

**From:** Gray, Joseph  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 24, 2007 12:39 PM  
**To:** Edelman, Michael N.; Matz, Robert; Lemieux, Ronald (Ron) S.  
**Cc:** Buss, Brian; Walker, Floyd  
**Subject:** Motion for hearing

Ron, Mike, and Robert,

Defendants plan to file a motion this afternoon requesting a hearing on their summary judgment motions as soon as possible for the Court, in order to allow Judge Love sufficient time to rule on the motions prior to the pre-trial deadlines and trial. Please let me know whether Advanceville will join in this motion.

Regards,

**Joseph D. Gray**  
 Attorney  
 Vinson & Elkins LLP  
 2801 Via Fortuna, Suite 100  
 Austin, TX 78746-7568  
 Tel 512.542.8420  
 Fax 512.236.3224  
 jgray@velaw.com

To the extent this communication contains any statement regarding fed

.....CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE.....

The information in this email may be confidential and/or privileged.

Thank You.

\*\*\*\*\*  
 IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: As required by U.S. Treasury Regulations governing tax practice, you are hereby advised that any written tax advice contained herein was not written or intended to be used (and cannot be used) by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code.  
 \*\*\*\*\*

This message is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.

For additional information, please visit our website at [www.paulhastings.com](http://www.paulhastings.com).

\*\*\*\*\*  
 IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: As required by U.S.

Treasury Regulations governing tax practice, you are hereby advised that any written tax advice contained herein was not written or intended to be used (and cannot be used) by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code.

\*\*\*\*\*

This message is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.

Case 6:05-cv-00424-LED Document 244 Filed 04/25/2007 Page 5 of 5

For additional information, please visit our website at [www.paulhastings.com](http://www.paulhastings.com).

\*\*\*\*\*

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: As required by U.S. Treasury Regulations governing tax practice, you are hereby advised that any written tax advice contained herein was not written or intended to be used (and cannot be used) by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code.

\*\*\*\*\*

This message is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.

For additional information, please visit our website at [www.paulhastings.com](http://www.paulhastings.com).